COURT NO. 2

IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition (S/S) 3894/2001
(Old No. 14507/1996)

Rajendra Singh Rawat
S/o Sri Sher Singh Rawat,
R/o 36/1, Ballupur Road,
Dehradun. .....Petitioner

Versus

1. State of U.P.
   Through the Secretary,
   Forest Department,
   Govt. of U.P., Lucknow.
2. The Principal Chief Conservator of Forest,
   U.P., Lucknow.
3. The Conservator of Forest,
   Bhagirathi Circle, Tehri Garhwal,
   Tehri
4. The Divisional Forest Officer,
   Tehri Forest Division,
   Tehri, District Tehri Garhwal
5. The Accountant General,
   Officer of the A.G., U.P.-II (PA-II)'
   U.P., Allahabad.
6. The Joint Director,
   U.P. Government Servant Group
   Insurance Directorate,
   Vikas Deep, 4th Floor, 22,
   Station Road, Lucknow, U.P.

.....Respondents

Sri B.D. Upadhyaya, learned Counsel for the petitioner.
Ms. Anjali Bhargava, learned Standing Counsel for the Central Govt.
Sri Paresh Tripathi, learned Standing Counsel for the State.

26th November, 2007

Hon'ble P.C. Verma, J.

By means of this writ petition, the petitioner prays for re-fixation of his salary and accordingly re-fixation of pension and to pay all the consequential claims to the petitioner along with interest @ 12 per annum. Re-

fixation has been claimed by the petitioner on the ground of revision of pay-scale granting him the 'Selection Grade' which was due to him.

2. Counter-affidavit has been filed. In the counter-affidavit, it has been stated that the petitioner has been granted the 'Selection Grade' on 1.1.1986, which was informed to the Accountant General, U.P. by the office of the respondent vide letter no. 1107/28-5 dated 14.8.1995. The Accountant General, in reply, wrote a letter dated 20.12.1995 to the Department stating therein that the fixation of the revised pay-scale has been found to be correct and the pension has been fixed accordingly.

3. Thus, so far as claim of re-fixation of salary and re-fixation of pension is concerned, the same stands satisfied in view of the aforementioned facts. It has been further stated that in compliance of the High Court's order in writ petition no. 25023/88, all the dues of the petitioner have been paid to him along with interest as directed by the High Court in the said writ petition. Nothing remains due to be paid to the petitioner now. Thus, the petition is devoid of merit and dismissed accordingly. No order as to costs.

(P.C. Verma, J.)

Prabodh